

1 Q. Adjust the response to IC 23 (*sic*). as required for each year assuming each  
2 of the following scenarios: Note reference changed to IC-192.

3 1) Hydro's application is adjusted to charge \$25 per barrel No. 6 fuel price  
4 for inclusion in Hydro's 2002 base rates.

5 2) Hydro's application is adjusted to charge \$15 per barrel No. 6 fuel price  
6 for inclusion in Hydro's 2002 base rates.

7 3) Hydro's application is adjusted to retain the current RSP cap at \$50  
8 million (examine this scenario under two options - assuming Hydro's 2002  
9 base rates include No. 6 fuel price at \$20 per barrel and at \$25 per  
10 barrel).

11

12 A. 1) Please see response to PUB-53.0.

13

14 2) The RSP reports for the years 2002 to 2005 with No. 6 fuel at \$15 per  
15 barrel are attached.

16

17 3) The RSP reports show the balances assuming no cap. However,  
18 retaining the current RSP cap at \$50 million, under either of the proposed  
19 scenarios, would result in Hydro being required to initiate an appearance  
20 before the Board. As stated in the Board's Report, dated August 6, 1985,  
21 such an appearance would be required

22 " ...if the net balance of provisions created by the Rate  
23 Stabilization Plan, to the extent that they are applicable  
24 to retailers, reaches \$50 million (either positive or negative).  
25 At that appearance, Hydro would either propose alternative  
26 rates or present facts relevant to examining the need for an  
27 alteration of rates in light of the circumstances at that time."

28

29 As outlined in Hydro's application, this is one of the matters currently  
30 before the Board.